


D0115

| <u>OPERATOR</u> | <u>LEVEL OF CONSCIOUSNESS</u> - <u>PRINCIPAL OPERATION</u> | | <u>IMPERATIVE</u> |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| QUESTIONS FOR DELIBERATION | <u>EXISTENTIAL</u> | <u>DECISION</u> | <u>BE RESPONSIBLE,</u> DECIDE & ACT IN CONF. W. MG & TRUTH |
| QUESTIONS FOR REFL., TRUTH | <u>RATIONAL</u> | <u>JUDGMENT</u> | <u>BE REASONABLE,</u> SEEK TRUTH |
| QUESTIONS FOR INTELLIGENCE, MG | <u>INTELLIGENT</u> | <u>UNDERSTANDING</u> | <u>BE INTELLIGENT,</u> SEEK MEANING |
| RECALL | <u>EMPIRICAL</u> | <u>PERCEPTIVE ACTIVITIES</u> | <u>BE ATTENTIVE,</u> <u>RECEPTIVE</u> |
| SPONTANEOUS IMAGES, SYMBOLS OF PSYCHE | <u>DREAMING</u> | <u>DREAMING</u> | |
| | NEURAL- PHYSIOLOGICAL PROCESS |  | |

Philo 143

Readings: Lonergan, Insight, esp. cc. 1-13, 18
 _____, The Subject
 _____, "Cognitive Structure"
 _____, "Dimensions of Meaning" } COLLECTION
 _____, Method in Theology, Ch. 1.

page 23, board

Theo 143

Jan. 23, 1

1. Rev: rise of hist'l es

→ constituting the world
by our decisions

structure of es (Lorenz & Jung)

wholeness of es as a possibility

along w. possibility of splitness

- 4 eqs of splitness:

1) instrumentalist reason

2) rashness of judgment

3) lives w/o meaning

bec of passing over of u & j

4) soullessness, due to divorce of
intentionality fr. psyche

Wholeness as normative:

2. es operating

fr: a position of wholeness

in the making of history

and constitution of the human world (incl. rel. & culture)

is one whose decisions

are based on accurate

understanding

of experience.

Presumably such a es

would constitute

a world (incl. rel. & cul.)

fit for human es to live in.

Better, to the extent any es -- for es is always ind'l --

so operates, it contributes to a human world fit

for human es to live in.

Theo 143

Jan. 23, 2

Paraphrase: The fact that es is always individual -- only individuals
(for the moment) are conscious --

raises problems, of course, w. wh. we will be
dealing extensively very shortly:

there is no way to change a "group's mind" (so-called)
except by the change of individuals.
Unless the ind'l changes, nothing changes.

But such decisions flowing from whole men
wd. be responsible decisions,
based on reasonable affirmations
of an intelligently grasped meaning
of the attentively received experience.

This structure, then, seems to provide us with something
like normativity for our performance as conscious historical
beings. It seems to provide laws of human consciousness,
& thus to define human authenticity.

Corresponding to each level of
waking consciousness
there is some norm or imperative
issuing from the vector of consciousness reaching up to
humanity:

"transcendental imperatives" (Lonergan)

- i.e., cross-cultural

& cross-religious,

found wherever there is human consciousness
and normative for its process.

Theo 143

Jan. 23, 3a

Notice that wholeness is
not shut-up-ness, self-enclosure,
but is simultaneously whole and
self-transcending:

empirically - E
intentionally - U
cognitively - J
really - D

Thus Lonergan
will identify
authenticity
with self-transcendence.